

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,417		01/27/2004	David A. Sharp	1652.69414	4151
24978	7590	10/27/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, E	BURNS &	CRAIN	VALENZA, JOSEPH E		
300 S WAC	CKER DR				
25TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			•	3651	
			•	DATE MAIL ED. 10/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
1		10/766,417	SHARP, DAVID	A.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit 1, 1	
		Joseph Valenza	3651	1 MW	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence	address	
THE - Ext - If ti - If N - Fai An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered tin DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ,			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to t	he merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form I	PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee	Application No	al Stage	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ot received.		
Attachme	nt(s)				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152\	
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/04</u> .	6) Other: _		10-102)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sollenberger et al.

Note pivoting members 36, support brackets 34, tracking rollers 9 and 11, bushings 24 for the ball on the stub 22, guide control bar 44 and guide rollers 37.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollenberger et al.

It would have been obvious that the unit of Sollenberger et al could be considered to be a retrofit unit.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sollenberger et al in view of Hovsto et al.

It would have been obvious that the tracking system of Sollenberger et al could be used on the return flight as taught by tracking means 1 and 9 of figure 6 of Hovsto et al.

- 4. Burrough et al is pertinent.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenya